Pests: What don't we know

Can science help?

Margaret Stanley

School of Biological Sciences University of Auckland





SCHOOL OF BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES

SCIENCE

Twitter: @mc_stanley1

http://stanleylab.blogs.auckland.ac.nz/

Outcome-based conservation

What outcomes do we want?

- Protect our most threatened species?
- Maintain healthy ecological communities?

Strategy: Eradication vs Manage Below Damage Threshold

Dependent on:

- Size of population
- Amount of funding/investment committed
- Terrain/site & species characteristics

How's our science communication?

Achieving Eradication

Last updated 12:56, June 20 2018

DEBRIN FOXCROFT

99% of the funding is used to detect and kill the last 1% of the population

= confirming eradication



The great goat hunt that wasn't: \$91,000

G 🖂 🙆

spent, but not a single goat killed

Feral goats destroy native plants.

Widespread weeds – site-based control

Eradication is a really bad investment for widespread weeds!

= outcome based/site-led



What can science offer widespread weeds?

Widespread weeds – prevention is the key





Sheppard et al (2016) Future-proofing weed management for the effects of climate change: is NZ underestimating the risk of increased plant invasions? *NZ J Ecology* 40(3): 398-405.

Widespread weeds – biological control

Tradescantia fluminensis







Moth plant?

Climbing asparagus?

Credit: Quentin Paynter

Damage functions – keep pests below thresholds





We have few damage functions in NZ conservation!

MSc student: Robert Vennell

Removing species in isolation = indirect ecological effects

1.00

0.75

0.50

0.25

Breeding success



Cook's Petrels Hauturu/Little Barrier Is

What happens when we remove rats?

Rayner et al (2007) PNAS <u>https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707414105</u>

Owned/unowned cats in urban bush fragments



MSc student: Samantha Lincoln

- 8x Auckland reserves
- ~53 individual cats

The science is clear:

cats in sensitive ecological areas is a very bad idea!





Rat control = cats shift towards daytime activity

After rat control = > cats visiting during day

Adult birds & lizards at increased risk?

Is keeping cats in at night going to be the answer?



More hedgehogs in urban bush patches with fewer rats



MSc student: Cathy Nottingham

Should we be targeting hedgehogs?

Take note of indirect ecological effects!



What will our research on pests in uninhabited areas tell us about pests in cities?

Russell & Stanley (2018) An overview of introduced predator management in inhabited landscapes. *Pacific Conservation Biology* doi.org/10.1071/PC18013



Do pests behaviour differently in cities?

Differences in mammalian pest behaviour

- Home range size?
- Density?

Key ecological parameters = critical for efficient management

Limited set of tools in urban areas

• no aerial baiting!



Light pollution changes activity





How 'good' are our tools?



Range of tools in toolbox

- no tool will be appropriate in all situations



















Do you know how effective they are?

How 'good' are our tools?

Pest control tools must be: socially & culturally acceptable, technically and economically feasible and humane

Sensitivity of our monitoring/control devices:

- What is the probability of detecting/killing a pest if it's there?
- Does this depend on ecosystem/habitat?

Onus on 'tool manufacturers' to prove their effectiveness with good science

Mistakes are risky







Too many data capture methods?

How do we resolve to maximise learning?

New pest challenges: pathogens & invertebrates

Kauri dieback

- Ecosystem level effects?
- Investment moving from testing & containment towards treatment

New pathogens...game changer



Invertebrates







Brown marmorated stink bug (Credit: MW-LR)



New Challenges: Landscape connectivity

Ecological Outcomes:

- Are birds moving across the city?
- Healthy connected ecosystems?



Boffa Miskell Ltd (2016) North-West Wildlink

Are we actually measuring connectivity?

Ecosystem function – beyond birds?





Pest Free _____ Mostly Pest Free _____

North-West Wildlink = a microcosm of Predator-Free NZ

Connects:

- Rural
- Urban
- High ethnic diversity

Subtropical climate = pests!

How do we connect people: social science?

NWW = one community-driven model of landscape connectivity

Know we are part of broader network & vision BUT participation is at local, meaningful scale

Successes e.g.:

- Information sharing!
- Community nursery network
- Strategic landscape planning
- Leveraging technical support; research; funding more efficiently
- Trial tools

BUT need MORE social science in pest management ...





Social science!

- Collective impact: How to connect many individuals & groups at large scales
- Cultural values Māori
- Changing demographics of Auckland
- Weeds: urban developers? nurseries?
- Behaviour change/actions: weed dumping, planting, etc
- Acceptability & social licence: tools
- Peer (in)groups +/vs science/environmental messaging

Build capacity (+ transdisciplinary – get out of silos!)

- Mātauranga Māori + Māori scientists (ecological, social, cultural...)
- Social Science/Psychology
- Engineering

Challenges

- Social & ecological science = small part of pest management
- Co-governance: Māori (e.g. Maunga Authority)
- Community driven science questions
- Keep it outcome focused: not killing pests
- Do we invest in gene technologies? Social licence?
- Ecological representation rare ecosystems?
- Converted vs connecting with diverse communities motivations?
- Give people more complex messaging

e.g. options + outcomes = costs/benefits of all aspects (e.g. social)

There is no silver bullet!









Collective impact =

Paddle the waka in the same direction

